$$\tau_{1-2} = (M_2 - M_1)a^{3/2} \tag{21}$$

Thus, using the above equations, all elements of the orbit can be obtained.

## References

<sup>1</sup> Love, C. E., Elements of Analytic Geometry, 3rd Ed., pp. 12-16, 193-195; The Macmillan Co., New York, 1950.

<sup>2</sup> Phillips, H. B., Vector Analysis, pp. 6-18, 182-196; New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; London, Chapman & Hall, Ltd., 1950.

<sup>3</sup> Sokolnikoff, I. S., and Sokolnikoff, E. S., *Higher Mathematics for Engi*neers and Physicists, 2nd Ed., pp. 399-411; McGraw-Hiil Book Co., Inc., New York & London, 1941.

<sup>4</sup> Baker, R. M. L., Jr., and Makemson, M. W., An Introduction to Astrodynamics, pp. 12-13, 50, 54, 139; Academic Press, New York & London, 1960.

<sup>6</sup> Thompson, W. T., Introduction to Space Dynamics, New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1961.

## A Supplementary Note on the Optimum Design of Box Beams for Combined Strength and Stiffness

B. Saelman

Design Specialist, Lockheed California Co., Division of Lockheed Aircraft Corp. June 22, 1962

 $\mathbf{R}$  EFERENCE 1 contains a discussion of some optimum-design factors for a wing box beam in which strength and stiffness requirements must be met.

Prior to this stage of design, and particularly in the case of swept wings, one is aware that the actual loads on the structure are functions of its torsional and bending stiffnesses. It follows that a judicious distribution of material can minimize the external loads on the wing. We have

$$M = \int_{s}^{l} \int_{s}^{l} l ds ds = \int_{s}^{l} \int_{s}^{l} \frac{qc}{144} \left( C_{L\alpha}\alpha_{g} + C_{L\alpha_{s}}\alpha_{s} \right) ds ds \qquad (1)$$
  
$$\alpha_{s} = \phi \cos \Lambda - \Gamma \sin \Lambda = \cos \Lambda \int_{0}^{s} \frac{T}{GJ} ds - \sin \Lambda \int_{0}^{s} \frac{M}{EI} ds \qquad (2)$$

and

$$T = \int_{s}^{l} le_{1}cds \tag{3}$$

It is seen from Eq. (1) that when  $\alpha_s$  is a minimum, M is also a minimum. Since M and T are functions of  $\alpha_s$  in the expression for  $\alpha_s$ , it would be difficult to obtain directly a distribution of area so that  $\alpha_s$  would be minimized at each station; and it is obvious that an iterative procedure is required in order that the final values of J, I,  $\alpha_s$ , T, and M all be compatible in their relationships in the above equations. Furthermore, the distribution of material required for strength will not necessarily correspond to the distribution which yields minimum external loads.

It is seen from Eq. (2) that a high J and a low value of I would tend to minimize the incremental angle of attack under positive load conditions. We can write

$$\frac{GJ}{EI} = \frac{G}{E} \frac{4A^2/[(2c/t_s) + (2h/t_F)]}{(ct_e h^2)/2}$$
(4)

and for  $t_s = t_e$ , this ratio becomes

$$\frac{GJ}{EI} = \frac{4G}{E} \frac{1}{1 + (t_s/t_F)(h/c)}$$
(5)

This value is large when  $t_s/t_F$  and h/c are small.

Although it is difficult to distribute the material in the beam so that the bending moment is a minimum at *each* station, it is possible to distribute a fixed amount of material so that  $\alpha_s$  is a minimum at the tip, or the material can be distributed so that  $\alpha_s$ is a minimum at a specified station, at the same time keeping  $\alpha_s$ at the tip at a fixed value.

$$\alpha_{sTIP} = \int_0^l \left[ \frac{T(c+h)\cos\Lambda}{2GA^2t} - \frac{2M\sin\Lambda}{Eclh^2} \right] ds = \int_0^l \frac{Bds}{t} \qquad (6)$$

and

$$W = \int_0^l \rho t \rho ds \tag{7}$$

By the calculus of variations we find that the optimum distribution of t for minimum  $\alpha_s$ , based on a constant value of B, is given by

$$t = \frac{W}{\rho\sqrt{p}A} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{T(c+h)\cos\Lambda}{2G} - \frac{2Mc\sin\Lambda}{E}}}{\int_0^l \sqrt{\frac{T(c+h)\cos\Lambda}{2GA^2} - \frac{2M\sin\Lambda}{Ech^2}}\sqrt{p}\,ds} \tag{8}$$

The iterative process must, of course, be used to yield the distribution of t when B is adjusted to include the effects of loads due to deflection.

When  $\alpha_s$  is to be a minimum at a given station and fixed at the tip, we have

$$\alpha_{s_1} + \alpha_{s_2} = \alpha_{sTIP} \quad (\text{fixed}) \tag{9}$$

and

$$W_1 + W_2 = W \quad (\text{fixed}) \tag{10}$$

Under the conditions given in Eqs. (9) and (10),  $\alpha_{s_1}$  is to be minimum for the available  $W_1$ , while  $\alpha_{s_2}$  is the allowable remaining deflection to meet the given tip deflection,  $\alpha_{sTIP}$ , for a minimum  $W_2$ . Application of the Calculus of Variations yields two simultaneous equations in the Lagrange multipliers, each corresponding to one of the beam sections:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \sqrt{B} \sqrt{p} \, ds \end{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} + \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} \int_{s_{1}}^{l} \sqrt{B} \sqrt{p} \, ds \end{bmatrix} \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{2}} = \alpha_{sTIP} \quad (11) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} \int_{0}^{s_{1}} \sqrt{B} \sqrt{p} \, ds \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} + \int_{s_{1}}^{l} \sqrt{B} \sqrt{p} \, ds \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}} = W \quad (12) \end{cases}$$

The solution of Eqs. (11) and (12) gives two values of  $\lambda_1$ , one of which is a minimum and the other a maximum, both compatible with the fixed tip deflection and total weight; at the same time the most efficient use of material in each segment is realized. Again, iteration must be used in the process in order to reflect the effects on the value of loads due to deflections, and the resulting quadratic equation must be solved for each iteration. The numerical values of the multipliers are used in the solution for the optimum distribution of material in each section. Ref. 2 discusses the use of the multiplier in a single-section beam.

Much attention has recently been given to the redundant analysis of internal-load distributions; however, it should also be noted that the stiffness distribution in a structure will affect the external loads.

## References

<sup>1</sup> Saelman, B., Some Notes on the Optimum Design of Box Beams for Combined Strength and Stiffness, Readers' Forum, Journal of the Aerospace Sciences, Vol. 29, No. 4, April 1962.

<sup>2</sup> Saelman, B., A Note on the Optimum Distribution of Material in a Beam for Stiffness, Readers' Forum, Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences, Vol. 25, No. 4, April 1958.